

# Pig Iron Facility Project - Saguenay

Final Report (English Version)

Environmental Impact Study submitted to the Ministry of Sustainable Development, Environment and the Fight against Climate Change (MDDELCC)

File: 3211-14-037

North Atlantic Iron Corporation





Environment & Geoscience



July | 2016

Final Report / Version 00 Internal ref. 634451

### **Pig Iron Facility Project - Saguenay** Final Report (English Version)

Environmental Impact Study submitted to the Ministry of Sustainable Development, Environment and the Fight against Climate Change (MDDELCC)

File: 3211-14-037

North Atlantic Iron Corporation

1999 Chemin des villas Saguenay, Quebec G7H 5A7

Prepared by:

hos labylle

Lina Lachapelle, Eng. **Project Director** 

Verified by:

iQuin

Christine Martineau, Biol. M.Sc. Project Manager

N/File n° : 634451 N/Document n° : Final Report/Version 00

July 2016

Distribution : MDDELCC (30 copies) : Luc Boivin, NAIC (1 copy) : Christine Martineau, SNC-: Lina Lachapelle, SNC-Lava Christine Martineau, SNC-Lavalin (1 copy) Lina Lachapelle, SNC-Lavalin (2 copies)

### Notice to Reader

This report has been prepared, and the work mentioned herein was carried out by **SNC-Lavalin GEM Québec Inc**. (SNC-Lavalin) exclusively for the client (**North Atlantic Iron Corporation**; **NAIC**), to whom the report is addressed, and who took part in developing the scope of work and understands the limitations. The methodology, findings, recommendations and results cited in this report are based solely on the scope of work and are subject to the requirements of time and budget, as described in the offer of services and/or the contract under which this report was issued. Use of this report or any decision based on its content by third parties is the sole responsibility of the third parties. SNC-Lavalin is not responsible for any damage incurred by third parties due to the use of this report or of any decision based on its content.

The findings, recommendations and results cited in this report (i) have been prepared in accordance with the skill level normally demonstrated by professionals operating in similar conditions in the sector, and (ii) are determined according to the best judgment of SNC-Lavalin, taking into account the information available at the time the report was prepared. The professional services provided to the Client and the findings, recommendations and results cited in this report are not subject to any guarantee, express or implied. The findings and results cited in this report are only valid on the date of the report and may be based in part on information provided by third parties. This report may require modifications in case of inaccurate information, discovery of new information or changes in project parameters. The results of this study are in no way a guarantee that the site of the study is free of contamination.

The soil and rock descriptions given in this report are from classification and identification methods commonly accepted and used in the practice of geotechnical engineering. The classification and identification of soil and rock involves judgment. The evidence, interpretations and recommendations contained in this report relate to the specific project as described in the report and do not apply to any other project or any other site. The groundwater levels provided in this report correspond only to those observed at the site and on the date indicated in the report

This report must be considered as a whole and its parts or sections must not be taken out of context. If discrepancies were to appear between the draft and the final version of this report, the final version shall prevail. Nothing in this report is mentioned with the intention to provide or constitute legal advice. The content of this report is confidential and proprietary. It is prohibited for any person other than the Client to reproduce or distribute this report, to use or make a decision based on its content, in whole or in part, without the express written permission of the Client and SNC-Lavalin.

#### SNC-Lavalin GEM Québec inc.

## **Table of Contents**

1		Impact Assessment Objectives and Background	1
1.1		Consultant	1
1.2		Methodological Considerations	2
1.3		Report Structure	2
2		Context	1
2.1		Project Promoter	1
	2.1.1	Grand River Ironsands	1
	2.1.2	Petmin	1
2.2		Project Background	2
2.3		Project Objectives	2
2.4		Project Justification	2
	2.4.1	Types of Merchant Pig Iron	3
	2.4.2	Advantages of Nodular Pig Iron	4
	2.4.3	Advantages and Disadvantages of Scrap Iron	5
	2.4.4	Direct Reduced Iron (DRI)	5
2.5		Pig Iron Market	6
	2.5.1	Global Context	6
	2.5.2	Increase in Electric-Arc-Furnace-Produced Steel	8
	2.5.3	Reduction in Merchant Pig Iron Production Capacity	9
	2.5.4	Targeted Market	9
2.6		Choosing Quebec	9
	2.6.1	Geographical Position of the Market	9
2.7		Site Selection	11
	2.7.1	The Saguenay Site	11
	2.7.2	Regulatory Context	12
2.8		Project Overview	12
2.9		Technology Selection	14
	2.9.1	Pig Iron Production Technologies	14
	2.9.2	Reducing Agent	23
	2.9.3	Cooling Methods	24
	2.9.4	Sanitary Wastewater Treatment Methods	25

	2.9.5	Process Wastewater Treatment Methods	25
	2.9.6	Atmospheric Emission Control	28
	2.9.7	CO <sub>2</sub> Management	29
3		Project Description	1
3.1		General Facility Construction	1
3.2		Production Capacity	3
3.3		Process Description	4
	3.3.1	Iron Pellets Receiving and Handling	4
	3.3.2	Direct Reduced Iron	6
	3.3.3	Smelting in the Electric Arc Furnace and Pig Iron Casting	11
3.4		Auxiliary Services	12
	3.4.1	Steam Production	12
	3.4.2	Compressed Air Production	12
	3.4.3	Nitrogen Production	12
	3.4.4	Electric Substation	12
3.5		Material Storage and Handling	13
	3.5.1	Raw Material	13
	3.5.2	Chemical Products for Water Treatment	17
	3.5.3	Natural Gas and Combustibles	17
	3.5.4	Final Product – Pig Iron	17
3.6		Water Uses and Treatment	18
	3.6.1	Demineralization Unit	19
	3.6.2	Quenching Cooling Tower Water	21
	3.6.3	EAF Cooling Tower, DRI Conveyor and Pig Caster Cooling	21
	3.6.4	Industrial Wastewater Treatment	22
	3.6.5	Sanitary Wastewater Treatment	26
	3.6.6	Run-off Water Management	28
3.7		Solid and Hazardous Waste	30
	3.7.1	Slag	30
	3.7.2	Screening Fines	31
	3.7.3	Sludge: Wastewater Treatment and Baghouse	31
	3.7.4	Scrubbing Solution	31
	3.7.5	Centrifugation Sludge	31

	3.7.6	Refractory Bricks	31
	3.7.7	Activated Carbon and Catalysts	32
	3.7.8	Waste Oil and Solvents	32
	3.7.9	Domestic Waste	32
	3.7.10	Empty Containers	32
3.8		Atmospheric Emissions	32
	3.8.1	Emission Standards	36
3.9		Noise Emissions	37
3.10		Supporting Infrastructure	38
	3.10.1	Natural Gas Supply	38
	3.10.2	Electricity Supply	38
	3.10.3	Water Supply	38
	3.10.4	Railway	38
	3.10.5	Port and Conveyor Facilities	38
3.11		Facility Pre-Start-up	39
3.12		Plant Closure	39
3.13		Construction Activities	40
	3.13.1	Construction Schedule	40
	3.13.2	Site Preparation	41
	3.13.3	Temporary Facilities	42
	3.13.4	Construction of Buildings and Equipment Installation	43
	3.13.5	Construction of the Storage Dome	43
3.14		Emissions and Discharges During Construction	44
	3.14.1	Dust	44
	3.14.2	Stormwater and Wastewater	44
	3.14.3	Solid Waste	44
	3.14.4	Noise	45
4		Description of the Environment	1
4.1		Study Area	1
	4.1.1	Delineation of the Study Areas	1
	4.1.2	Project Area	1
	4.1.3	Local Study Area	1
	4.1.4	Extended Study Area	1

4.2		Physical Environment	2
	4.2.1	Climate	2
	4.2.2	Air Quality	6
	4.2.3	Physiography	9
	4.2.4	Hydrography and Surface Water Quality	9
	4.2.5	Geology and Soil Quality	11
	4.2.6	Hydrogeology and Groundwater	14
4.3		Biological Environment	15
	4.3.1	Vegetation	15
	4.3.2	Wildlife	19
	4.3.3	Threatened, Vulnerable or Endangered Species	22
	4.3.4	Protected Areas, Conservation Areas and Sensitive Areas	28
4.4		Human Environment	28
	4.4.1	Administrative Framework	28
	4.4.2	Socioeconomic Profile	29
	4.4.3	Land Use	41
	4.4.4	Infrastructure and Public Services	46
	4.4.5	Historical and Archaeological Heritage	54
4.5		Noise Environment	55
	4.5.1	Initial Condition	55
	4.5.2	Noise Limits	56
4.6		Visual Environment	58
	4.6.1	Regional Landscape	58
	4.6.2	Landscape of the Local Study Area	59
	4.6.3	Identification of Landscape Units	59
5		Public Consultation	1
5.1		Consultation Approach	1
5.2		Preliminary Consultations	1
	5.2.1	Consulted Stakeholders	1
	5.2.2	Objectives	1
	5.2.3	Methodology	2
	5.2.4	Participation Level	2
	5.2.5	Principal Problems and Concerns	3

	5.2.6	Stakeholders' Recommendations	5
5.3		Consultation on the ESIA results	6
6		Environmental Impact Assessment Methodology	1
6.1		Identification of Environmental Impacts	1
6.2		Assessment of Environmental and Social Impacts	3
	6.2.1	Intensity of the Impact	5
	6.2.2	Extent of the Impact	6
	6.2.3	Duration of the Impact	7
	6.2.4	Significance of the Impact	7
6.3		Cumulative Environmental and Social Impacts	8
7		Identification and Assessment of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	1
7.1		Impacts on the Biophysical Environment during the Construction Period	1
	7.1.1	Air Quality	1
	7.1.2	Surface Water Quality	2
	7.1.3	Soil and Groundwater Quality	5
	7.1.4	Impacts on Vegetation	5
	7.1.5	Impacts on Wildlife	6
7.2		Impacts on the Biophysical Environment during the Operation Period	8
	7.2.1	Air Quality	8
	7.2.2	Surface Water Quality	10
	7.2.3	Soil and Groundwater Quality	11
	7.2.4	Hydrology of the Receiving Watershed	13
	7.2.5	Vegetation	15
	7.2.6	Terrestrial Wildlife	15
	7.2.7	Aquatic Wildlife	15
7.3		Impacts on the Human Environment	22
	7.3.1	Land Use Planning	22
	7.3.2	Public Infrastructure	23
	7.3.3	Human Health and Odors	25
	7.3.4	Greenhouse Gas	26
	7.3.5	Soundscape	27
	7.3.6	Visual Environment	34

	7.3.7	Quality of Life	39
	7.3.8	Archeological Heritage	39
	7.3.9	Economic Spin-offs	40
7.4		Impacts of the Plant's Closure	44
7.5		Impact Summary	45
7.6		Cumulative Environmental Impacts	50
	7.6.1	Projects Considered	50
	7.6.2	Cumulative Impacts Results Analysis	51
8		Technological Risks	1
8.1		Introduction	1
8.2		Identification of the Sensitive Components of the Project's Environment	2
	8.2.1	Population	2
	8.2.2	Industrial Facilities	3
	8.2.3	Road and Rail Freight	3
	8.2.4	Pipeline	3
	8.2.5	Environmental Features	3
8.3		Identification of External Risks	3
	8.3.1	Earthquakes	4
	8.3.2	Floods	4
	8.3.3	Landslides	5
	8.3.4	Exceptional Weather Conditions	5
	8.3.5	Aerial Transport	6
	8.3.6	Industrial Activities	6
8.4		Hazard Identification	6
	8.4.1	Description of Hazardous Materials	6
	8.4.2	Transportation of Hazardous Materials	10
	8.4.3	Accidentology	11
8.5		Assessment of the Consequences of the Alternative and Standardized Scenarios	12
	8.5.1	Methodology	12
	8.5.2	Worst-Case Scenarios	17
	8.5.3	Alternative Scenarios	19
	8.5.4	Scenarios Not Assessed Quantitatively	22
	8.5.5	Summary of Potential Consequences	23

8.6		Protection and Prevention Measures	23
	8.6.1	Identification of Applicable Laws and Regulations	24
	8.6.2	Protection and Prevention Equipment	25
8.7		Risk Management Plan	27
9		Environmental Monitoring and Follow-up Program	2
9.1		Emissions Monitoring Program During Construction	2
9.2		Emissions Monitoring Program – Operation Phase	3
	9.2.1	Atmospheric Emissions	3
	9.2.2	Consumption and Discharge of Water	6
	9.2.3	Solid and Semi-Solid Hazardous Waste	7
9.3		Environmental Monitoring – Operation Phase	9
	9.3.1	Ambient Air Quality	9
	9.3.2	Noise	9
	9.3.3	Groundwater	9
9.4		Reporting and Delivery of Results	10
10		Sustainable Development	1
10.1		NAIC Values and Core Principles	1
10.2		Proposed Sustainable Development Actions	2
11		References Cited and Consulted	1
Acron	iyms		xiv
Chem	nical Fo	ormulas	xx
Units	and Sy	vmbols	xxi

## List of Tables

Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.2Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Standards7Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 2.1	Project Promoter Contact Information	1
Table 2.4Advantages of Constructing a Nodular Pig Iron Facility in Quebec10Table 2.5Traditional vs. DR Ironmaking15Table 2.6Gas-based Vs. Coal-based DR Ironmaking20Table 2.7Comparison of Gas-based DR/EAF Plants21Table 3.1Raw Materials and Products14Table 3.2Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse24Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PMz_2 (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.1Wetland Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area18Table 4.10Distribution of the Regional Study Area19Table 4.2Special Status Plant Species nor Neart the Study Area <td>Table 2.2</td> <td>Proportions of Chemical Components in Merchant Pig Iron (MPI)</td> <td>4</td>	Table 2.2	Proportions of Chemical Components in Merchant Pig Iron (MPI)	4
Table 2.6Traditional vs. DR Ironmaking15Table 2.6Gas-based vs. Coal-based DR Ironmaking20Table 2.7Comparison of Gas-based DR/EAF Plants21Table 3.1Raw Materials and Products14Table 3.2Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse24Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of 0 <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m²) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.7PMcs (µg/m²) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.13Special Status Plant Species in or Nearthy the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area3131Table 4.15Distribution	Table 2.3	Main Grey and Ductile Iron Casting Producer Countries in 2013	8
Table 2.6Gas-based vs. Coal-based DR Ironmaking20Table 2.7Comparison of Gas-based DR/EAF Plants21Table 3.1Raw Materials and Products14Table 3.2Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse24Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Ernission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.1Wetlands Charactérized' in the Study Area19Table 4.1Wetlands Charactérized' in the Study Area19Table 4.3Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearthy Eucoal Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized' in the Study Area19<	Table 2.4	Advantages of Constructing a Nodular Pig Iron Facility in Quebec	10
Table 2.7Comparison of Gas-based DR/EAF Plants21Table 3.1Raw Materials and Products14Table 3.2Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse24Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.5Measurements of On mo 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr. (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM2.6 (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station form 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.1Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.1Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.3Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearthy Elocal Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.10Distribution of the Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.11Wetland	Table 2.5	Traditional vs. DR Ironmaking	15
Table 3.1Raw Materials and Products14Table 3.2Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse24Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized' in the Study Area31Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.13Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.16Educational Attainment	Table 2.6	Gas-based vs. Coal-based DR Ironmaking	20
Table 3.2Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse24Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PMzs (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area31Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area31Table 4.12Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area31Table 4.12Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Prese	Table 2.7	Comparison of Gas-based DR/EAF Plants	21
Table 3.3Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment26Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of 0 <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr, (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM2.5 (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 201416Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area31Table 4.15Discribution of the Regional Study Area31Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Age at the Study Area32Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area32Table 4.12Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearty the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25 <td>Table 3.1</td> <td>Raw Materials and Products</td> <td>14</td>	Table 3.1	Raw Materials and Products	14
Table 3.4Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics28Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.7PM2.6 (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Species nor Near the Study Area19Table 4.13Special Status Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.14Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.15Distribution of the Regional Study Area31Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14 <t< td=""><td>Table 3.2</td><td>Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse</td><td>24</td></t<>	Table 3.2	Flowrates of Streams to Treat and Reuse	24
Table 3.5Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)35Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.7PM2.5 (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employres in the City of Saguenay38Table	Table 3.3	Expected Quality of Water Upstream and Downstream of the Final Treatment	26
Table 3.6Emission Standards36Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PM <sub>T</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area19Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.13Special Status Species In or the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20I	Table 3.4	Sanitary Wastewater Characteristics	28
Table 3.7Construction phases41Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PM <sub>T</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Lan	Table 3.5	Estimated Annual Air Emissions from the Cast Iron Manufacturing Plant (tons per year)	35
Table 3.8Equipment Used for Construction46Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PM <sub>T</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Stud	Table 3.6	Emission Standards	36
Table 4.1Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component2Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PM <sub>T</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area23Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area	Table 3.7	Construction phases	41
Table 4.2Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)4Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMr (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area31Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 3.8	Equipment Used for Construction	46
Table 4.3Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)6Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O3 from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMT (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM2.5 (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.18Main Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.1	Study Areas Considered Based on the Receiving Environment's Component	2
Table 4.4Ambient Air Quality Standards7Table 4.5Measurements of O <sub>3</sub> from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PM <sub>T</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.15Distribution of the Regional Study Area31Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.2	Climate Normals in Bagotville (from 1981 to 2010)	4
Table 4.5Measurements of O3 from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station8Table 4.6PMT (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM2.5 (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized¹ in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area¹25Table 4.15Distribution of the Regional Study Area31Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.3	Stations Selected for Air Quality Description Purposes (2012 to 2014)	6
Table 4.6PM <sub>T</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 20148Table 4.7PM <sub>2.5</sub> (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.4	Ambient Air Quality Standards	7
Table 4.7PM2.5 (µg/m³) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 20149Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.5	Measurements of $O_3$ from 2012 to 2014 at the Chicoutimi Station	8
Table 4.8Watersheds Present in the Study Area10Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area19Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.6	$\text{PM}_{\text{T}}$ (µg/m³) Measurements at the La Baie Station from 2012 to 2014	8
Table 4.9Study Area Vegetation Profile16Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.7	$PM_{2.5}$ (µg/m <sup>3</sup> ) Measurements at the Chicoutimi Station from 2012 to 2014	9
Table 4.10Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area18Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.8	Watersheds Present in the Study Area	10
Table 4.11Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area19Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup> 25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.9	Study Area Vegetation Profile	16
Table 4.12Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area23Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.10	Distribution of Wetland Types Present in the Study Area	18
Table 4.13Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area25Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.11	Wetlands Charactérized <sup>1</sup> in the Study Area	19
Table 4.14Population Data for the Regional Study Area31Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.12	Special Status Plant Species in or Near the Study Area	23
Table 4.15Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age32Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.13	Special Status Species Possibly Present in or Nearby the Local Study Area <sup>1</sup>	25
Table 4.16Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)34Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.14	Population Data for the Regional Study Area	31
Table 4.17Comparative Employment Data by Sector37Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.15	Distribution of the Population of the Regional Study Area by Age	32
Table 4.18Main Employers in the City of Saguenay38Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.16	Educational Attainment (Population Aged 15 and Over)	34
Table 4.19Employment and Unemployment Rate in 201138Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.17	Comparative Employment Data by Sector	37
Table 4.20Individual and Household Income (CAD)39Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.18	Main Employers in the City of Saguenay	38
Table 4.21Land Allocation in the Study Area41	Table 4.19	Employment and Unemployment Rate in 2011	38
	Table 4.20	Individual and Household Income (CAD)	39
Table 4.22Land Use in the Study Area44	Table 4.21	Land Allocation in the Study Area	41
	Table 4.22	Land Use in the Study Area	44

Table 4.24Results of Initial Noise Level Measurements in Sensitive Areas5Table 4.25Summary of Noise Limits for the Project's Stationary Sources5Table 4.26Summary of Noise Limits for the Construction of the Project5Table 5.1Issues and Concerns Raised during the Preliminary Consultations5Table 5.2Stakeholders Recommendations – Preliminary Consultations5Table 6.1Grid for Determining the Environmental Value5Table 6.2Grid for Determining the Intensity of an Impact5Table 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact Significance7Table 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 21Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations Considered1Table 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC Criteria1Table 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the Water1Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Ph
Table 4.26Summary of Noise Limits for the Construction of the Project5Table 5.1Issues and Concerns Raised during the Preliminary ConsultationsTable 5.2Stakeholders Recommendations – Preliminary ConsultationsTable 6.1Grid for Determining the Environmental ValueTable 6.2Grid for Determining the Intensity of an ImpactTable 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact SignificanceTable 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 2Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations ConsideredTable 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay RiverTable 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC CriteriaTable 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the WaterTable 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Zable 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.1Table 7.1Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.1Table 7.1Intensity of the Noise Level Scenerated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.1Table 7.1Economic Impact of \$141.3 Operating Expenditures (in thousands of dollars .2016)4Table 7.13Table 7.14Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars .2016)4Table 7.14Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC
Table 5.1Issues and Concerns Raised during the Preliminary ConsultationsTable 5.2Stakeholders Recommendations – Preliminary ConsultationsTable 6.1Grid for Determining the Environmental ValueTable 6.2Grid for Determining the Intensity of an ImpactTable 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact SignificanceTable 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 2Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations ConsideredTable 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay RiverTable 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC CriteriaTable 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the WaterTable 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use PercentageTable 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant ConstructionTable 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the PlantTable 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.1Table 7.2Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.14Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.14Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.14Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.15Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS<
Table 5.2Stakeholders Recommendations – Preliminary ConsultationsTable 6.1Grid for Determining the Environmental ValueTable 6.2Grid for Determining the Intensity of an ImpactTable 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact SignificanceTable 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 2Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations ConsideredTable 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay RiverTable 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC CriteriaTable 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the WaterTable 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Table 7.10Projected Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of \$141.3 Operating Expenditures (in thousands of dollars .2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditures (in thousands of dollars .2016)4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and
Table 6.1Grid for Determining the Environmental ValueTable 6.2Grid for Determining the Intensity of an ImpactTable 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact SignificanceTable 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 2Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations ConsideredTable 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay RiverTable 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC CriteriaTable 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the WaterTable 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use PercentageTable 7.7Projected Noise Level - Plant ConstructionTable 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the PlantTable 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impact of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 7.145Main Sensitive Element
Table 6.2Grid for Determining the Intensity of an ImpactTable 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact SignificanceTable 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 2Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations ConsideredTable 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay RiverTable 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC CriteriaTable 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the WaterTable 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.13Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.103Projected Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.124Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditure (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.134Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Construction Phase4Table 7.164Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.175Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 7.16
Table 6.3Grid for Determining the Impact SignificanceTable 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 2Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations ConsideredTable 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay RiverTable 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC CriteriaTable 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the WaterTable 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage22Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction23Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.97.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.107.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.164Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.175Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant Plant Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.164Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities5Table 7.164Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities5Table 7.175Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impac
Table 7.1Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study for Scenarios 1 and 21Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations Considered1Table 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay River1Table 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC Criteria1Table 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the Water1Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Level Scenerated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5<
Table 7.2Specific Low-Water Flows at the Stations Considered1Table 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay River1Table 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC Criteria1Table 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the Water1Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 7.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.3Flows Estimated for Watercourse 06f80000 at its Estuary with the Saguenay River1Table 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC Criteria1Table 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the Water1Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 7.17Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 7.18Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5
Table 7.4Quality of the Effluent based on MDDELCC Criteria1Table 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the Water1Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 7.17Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.5Assessed Toxicity of the Compounds used for the Conditioning of the Water1Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.6Noise Levels and Equipment Use Percentage2Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.7Projected Noise Levels – Plant Construction2Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.8Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Construction of the Plant3Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.9Plant Equipment Sound Power Level3Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.10Projected Noise Levels Generated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.11Intensity of the Noise Level Anticipated during the Operation of the Plant3Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code6
Table 7.12Economic Impact of the \$257 Million Capital Expenditures (in thousands of dollars, 2016)4Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.13Economic Impacts of \$141.3 Operating Expenditure (in thousands of dollars -2016)4Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.14Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Construction Phase4Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project– Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.15Summary of the Residual Impacts of NAIC's Pig Iron Plant Project- Operation Phase4Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.16Annual Emissions due to Materials Handling Activities by the APS5Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study Area5Table 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code5
Table 7.17Summary of the Results of the Atmospheric Dispersion Study on the Cumulative Impacts of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study AreaTable 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code
of the Plant's Operation and APS Handling Activities5Table 8.1Main Sensitive Elements within the Study AreaTable 8.2Climate Data from the National Building Code
Table 8.2 Climate Data from the National Building Code
Table 8.3 Identification of the Main Hazardous Materials
Table 8.4 Properties of the Main Hazardous Materials
Table 8.5   Thresholds Used to Evaluate Impact Zones Related to Fatality Risk   1
Table 8.6   Thresholds Used to Assess Potential Effects on Health   1
Table 8.7   DMDS Toxicity Thresholds Defined by MEEDDM   1
Table 8.8   Carbon Monoxide Toxicity Thresholds Defined by AEGL and ERPG Values   1
Table 8.9 Thresholds Used for Domino Effects and Material Damage 1
Table 8.10   Maximum Impact Distances under the Worst-Case Scenario Involving Natural Gas   1
Table 8.11   Maximum Effects Radius for the Diesel-Related Standardized Scenario   1
Table 8.12     Maximum Effects Radius for the Standardized Scenario Associated with the DMDS     1
Table 8.13   Maximum Effects Radius for a Natural Gas Leak Followed by a Jet Fire   2

Table 8.14	Maximum Effects Radius for a Major Natural Gas Leak Followed by an Explosion	20
Table 8.15	Maximum Effects Radius for a Major DMDS Leak	21
Table 8.16	Maximum Effects Radius for a Major Process Gas Leak (CO Toxicity)	21
Table 8.17	Maximum Effects Radius for a Major Process Gas Leak (Mixture Flammability)	22
Table 9.1	Measurement and Sampling of the Final Effluent (No. 3)	7

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Market Potential for Pig Iron 2014 - 2025	7
Figure 2.2	Site Location	13
Figure 3.1	Plant General Layout	2
Figure 3.2	Pig Iron Simplified Process Flow Diagram	5
Figure 3.3	Simplified Diagram: CO2 Removal	9
Figure 3.4	Water Uses	20
Figure 3.5	Simplified Diagram: Industrial Wastewater Treatment	23
Figure 3.6	Simplified Diagram: Sanitary Wastewater and Run-off Water Treatment	27
Figure 3.7	Labour Requirements during Plant Construction	40
Figure 4.1	Wind Rose at the Bagotville Weather Station (2010 to 2014)	3
Figure 4.2	Schematic View of a Section of the Saguenay Graben	13
Figure 4.3	Territories of Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean and their Population	30
Figure 4.4	Post-secondary Education Subjects Studied by the Population of the Study Area	35
Figure 4.5	Economic Development Index for the Saguenay-Lac-St-Jean Region	36
Figure 4.6	Number of Ships Docked on the Saguenay River between 1990 and 2015	49
Figure 4.7	Intersection of Rang Saint-Mathieu and Rue des Cormiers	60
Figure 4.8	Chemin St-Joseph	60
Figure 4.9	Quai des Croisières, La Baie	61
Figure 4.10	View towards the Grande-Anse Marine Terminal from the Saguenay's North Shore	62
Figure 4.11	Vacant land where the future pig iron plant will be constructed	62
Figure 4.12	Railway link to the Grande-Anse Intermodal Marine Industrial Park	63
Figure 4.13	Forest area forming the buffer zone between the site, Rue Saint-Martin and the Saguenay River	63
Figure 4.14	View towards the Saguenay from Chemin St-Martin	64
Figure 4.15	Chemin Saint-Martin	64
Figure 4.16	Saguenay river seen from Saint-Fulgence	65
Figure 4.17	View of the flats, St-Fulgence	65
Figure 4.18	Terrasse of the Auberge de la Tourelle	66
Figure 4.19	Beach located south of Cap Jaseax	66
Figure 4.20	View of Chemin Saint-Martin from the Auberge de la Tourelle	67
Figure 6.1	Environmental Impact Assessment Process	4

Figure 7.1	Visual Simulation	38
Figure 8.1	Technological Risks – General Methodology	1

## List of Maps

Map 1	Study Area Limit and Monitoring Stations	68
Map 2	Biophysical Environment	69
Map 3	Hydrographic Network	70
Map 4	Landuse Designation and Planning	71
Map 5	Description of the Human Environment	72
Map 6	Landscape Components Description	73

### **List of Appendices**

#### Appendix A - Water Chemical Conditioning Program

A1 - Chemical Products

A2 - Safety Data Sheets

#### Appendix B - Slag

- B1 Methodology for the Production of Slag with the same Characteristics (French only)s
- B2 Decision Flow Chart for Repurposing (extract from the guide) (French only)
- B3 Slag Analytical Results Overview (French only)
- B4 Detailed Results and Certificates of Analyses (French only)

#### Appendix C - Potable Water Quality (French Only)

#### Appendix D - Atmospheric Emissions

D1 - Atmospheric Emission Calculations

D2 - Atmospheric Dispersion Study (English version beginning only at section 2.7)

#### Appendix E - Surface Water

- E1 Description of watercourses (French only)
- E2 Surface Water Quality Analysis results (French only)
- E3 Surface Water Quality Certificates of analyses (French only)

#### Appendix F - Soil and Groundwater

- F1 Soil Quality (French only)
- F2 Groundwater Quality (French only)

F3 - Calculation of DRASTIC Vulnerability Index (French only)

#### Appendix G - Biological Species (French only)

#### Appendix H - Noise

- H1 Noise Measurements (French only)
- H2 Administrative Codification of Regulation VS-R-2007-51 relative to Noise in the City of Saguenay (French only)
- H3 Handling of Noise Complaints and Requirements of Companies that Generate it (MDDELCC) (French only)
- H4 Guidelines on Noise Levels from the Industrial Construction Site (MDDELCC) (French only)
- H5 Noise Impact Intensity (French only)
- H6 Map H1 Predicted Noise Levels during Facility Operation

#### Appendix I - Visual Impact

- I1 Location of Photos and Visibility Analyses
- I2 Photographic Report
- 13 Visual Impact Variable Analysis

#### Appendix J - Consultations

- J1 Stakeholders Met (preliminary consultations)
- J2 Description of Targeted Stakeholders
- J3 Communication Tools Used during Preliminary Consultations

#### Appendix K - Technological Risks

- K1 Safety Data Sheets
- K2 Consequence Assessment Assumptions
- K3 Preliminary Emergency Response Plan

## Acronyms

AADT	Average Annual Daily Traffic
AARQ	Amphibian and Reptile Atlas of Quebec ( <i>Atlas des amphibiens et des reptiles du Québec</i> )
AEGL	Acute Exposure Guideline Levels
AEPHIS	Association of the Enterprises of the Industrial Park of the Haut-Saguenay Inc. (Association des Entreprises du Parc Industriel du Haut-Saguenay inc.)
AIHA	American Industrial Hygienist Association
APS	Saguenay Port Authority (Administration portuaire de Saguenay)
ATU	Acute Toxicity Unit
AVS	Attestation of Vocational Specialization
BAPE	Bureau des audiences publiques sur l'environnement
BATEA	Best available technologies economically achievable
BDTQ	Quebec Topographic Database
BF	Blast Furnace
BNQ	Bureau de la normalisation du Québec
$BOD_5$	Biological Oxygen Demand, 5 days
BOF	Basic Oxygen Furnace
BPT	Best Practicable Control Technology
BQMA	Aquatic Environment Quality Database ( <i>Banque de données sur la qualité du milieu aquatique</i> )
C <sub>10</sub> -C <sub>50</sub>	Petroleum Hydrocarbon Chains 10-50 Carbons in Length
CA	Certificate of Authorization
CAAQS	Canadian Ambient Air Quality Standards
CAR	Clean Air Regulation
CCISF	Chamber of commerce and industry in Saguenay-Le Fjord (Chambre de commerce et d'industrie Saguenay – Le Fjord)
CCPS	Center for Chemical Process Safety
CDPNQ	Quebec Natural Heritage Data Center
CEC	Chicoutimi Environment Committee (Comité de l'environnement de Chicoutimi)

CEGEP	Conorol and Vacational Education Colleges
	General and Vocational Education Colleges
CEMP	Construction Environmental Management Plan
CFRS	Roberval and Saguenay Railway Company
CFU	Colony-Forming Units
CIUSSS	Integrated University Health and Social Services Center
CLD	Local Development Center (Centre Local de Développement)
CLSC	Health and Social Services Center
CN	Canadian National (Railway)
CNRC	National Research Council of Canada ( <i>Conseil national de recherche du Canada</i> )
COP21	21st Conference of Parties
COSEWIC	Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada
COSEPAC	Comité sur la situation des espèces en péril au Canada
CPTAQ	Commission for the Protection of Agricultural Land in Quebec
CQRDA	Quebec Aluminum Research and Development Center
CRAIM	Council for the Reduction of Major Industrial Accidents
CREDD	Regional environmental and sustainable development council of Saguneay-Lac- Saint-Jean ( <i>Conseil regional de l'environnement et du développement durable du</i> Saguenay-Lac-Saint-Jean)
CRRNT	Commission régionale sur les ressources naturelles et le territoire du Saguenay- Lac-Saint-Jean
CSA	Canadian Standards Association
CSE	Canadian Securities Exchange
CSSSC	Chicoutimi Health and Social Services Center
СТА	Aluminum Technology Center
CTU	Chronic Toxicity Unit
CURAL	University Research Center on Aluminum
DEE	Direction générale de l'évaluation environnementale
DIPPR	Design Institute for Physical Property
DMDS	Dimethyl Disulfide
DR	Direct Reduction

DRI	Direct Reduced Iron
DUC	Ducks Unlimited Canada
EAF	Electric Arc Furnace
EDO	Environmental Discharge Objective
EIA	Environmental Impact Assessment
EMP	Environmental Management Plan
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EPOQ	Studies of Bird Populations in Quebec
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guidelines
ESAI	Environmental and Social Impact Assessment
ESP	Electrostatic Precipitator
FSM	Forks Specialty Metals
FQCQ	Fédération québécoise des clubs quad
FTE	Full-time Equivalents
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GHG	Greenhouse Gases
GIEBV	Integrated Management of Water per Watershed (Gestion intégrée de l'eau par bassin versant)
GR3MB	Research Group on Renewable Resources in the Boreal Forest
GRI	Grand River Ironsands
HBI	Hot Briquetting Iron
HHV	High Heating Value
HRC	Hot Rolled Coil
ISQ	Statistical Institute of Quebec
JSE	Johannesbourg Stock Exchange
LEMV	Act Respecting Threatened or Vulnerable Species
LFL	Lower Flammable Limit
LNG	Liquefied Natural Gas
LS	Liquid Steel

- MAPAQ Ministry of Agriculture and Fish of Quebec (*Ministère de l'Agriculture et des Pêches du Québec*)
- MAPR Multi-sector Air Pollutants Regulations of Canada
- MCC Ministry of Culture and Communication (*Ministère de la Culture et des Communications*)
- MDDEFP Ministry of Sustainable Development, Environment, Wildlife and Parks (*Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement, de la Faune et des Parcs,* former name of the *MDDELCC*)
- MDDELCC Ministry of Sustainable Development, Environment, and the Fight against Climate Change (*Ministère du Développement durable, de l'Environnement, de la Lutte contre les Changements Climatiques*)
- (a)MDEA (Activated) Methyl diethanolamine
- MEDD Ministry of Ecology and Sustainable Development
- MEEDDM Ministry of Ecology, Energy, Sustainable Development and the Sea
- MEIE Ministry of Economy, Innovation and Exports
- MERN Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources
- MFFP Ministry of Wildlife, Forests and Parks
- MMER Metal Mining Effluent Regulations
- MRNF Ministry of Natural Resources and Wildlife
- MTM Modified Transverse Mercator
- MTQ Ministry of Transport of Quebec
- NAFTA North American Free Trade Agreement
- NAD83 North American Datum of 1983
- NAIC North Atlantic Iron Corporation
- NBC National Building Code of Canada
- NFC National Fire Code of Canada
- NFPA National Fire Protection Association
- NQISL Internal Short Line Railroad of Northern Quebec
- OBV Watershed Organization (organisme de bassin versant)
- OSHA Occupational Safety and Health Administration
- PAE Comprehensive Development Plan
- PAHs Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons

PCB	Polychloride biphenyl
PCDD-F	Polychlorinated dibenzodioxins/furans
Petmin	Petmin Limited
PHAST	Process Hazards Analysis Software Tools
PM	Particulate Matter
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Particulate Matter, diameter ≤ 2.5 microns
ΡM <sub>T</sub>	Total Particulate Matter
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
Q2	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter
QCT	Quenching Cooling Tower
RAA	Clean Air Regulation
RCM	Regional County Municipality (Municipalité régionale de comté, MRC)
RMMP	Residual Material (Waste) Management Plant
RMRCECA	Regulation respecting mandatory reporting of certain emissions of contaminants into the atmosphere
RQO	Regroupement Quebec Oiseaux
RPRT	Land Protection and Rehabilitation Regulation ( <i>Règlement sur la protection et la réhabilitation des terrains</i> )
SAA	Secrétariat aux affaires autochtones
SAE	Service to companies (Service aux entreprises)
SARA	Species at Risk Act
SCR	Selective Catalytic Reduction
SEI	Irreversible Effects Threshold (seuil des effets irréversibles)
SELS	Lethal Significant Effects Threshold (seuil des effets létaux significatifs)
SER	Reversible Effects Threshold (seuil des effets réversibles)
SIEF	Ecoforest Information System (système d'information écoforestière)
SIGEOM	Geomining Information System of Quebec (Système d'information géominière du Québec)
SLDTV	Species Likely to be Designated Threatened or Vulnerable
SME	Small and Medium-sized Enterprises

SMS	Surface Mineral Substances
SOS-POP	
303-FOF	Endangered Species Monitoring
SPA	Saguenay Port Authority
SPEL	First Lethal Effects Threshold (seuil des premiers effets létaux)
TERMPOL	Technical Review Process of Marine Terminal Systems in Transhipment Sites
TOC	Total Organic Compounds
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
UGAF	Fur-Bearing Animal Management Unit
UQAM	University of Quebec in Chicoutimi
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
UV	Ultra-violet
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
VSD	Vocational Studies Diploma
WSA	Waterfowl Staging Area
WHMIS	Canadian Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System
ZEC	Controlled operating zone (Zone d'exploitation contrôlée)
ZR	Zero Reformer

## **Chemical Formulas**

$AI_2O_3$	Aluminum Oxide, Alumina
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Calcium Carbonate
CaO	Calcium Oxide
$CH_4$	Methane
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
Fe	Iron
$Fe_2O_3$	Iron(III) Oxide
Fe <sub>3</sub> C	Iron Carbide
FeCl <sub>3</sub>	Ferric Chloride
H <sub>2</sub>	Hydrogen
H <sub>2</sub> O	Water
$H_2S$	Hydrogen Sulfide
MgO	Magnesium Oxide
N <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen
Na <sup>+</sup>	Sodium Ion
NaCl	Salt, Sodium Chloride
NO <sub>3</sub>	Nitrates
NOx	Mono-nitrogen Oxides
SiO <sub>2</sub>	Silicon Oxide
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulfur Dioxide

## Units and Symbols

dBA	A-weighted decibels
g/kmV	grams per kilometer traveled
ha	hectares
kg/h	kilogram per hour
km	kilometer
kV	kilovolt
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic meters
m³/d	cubic meters per day
m³/h	cubic meters per hour
mg/l	milligram per liter
M t/y	Million tons per year
MVA	Mega Volt Amp
MW	megawatts
Nm³/h	Normal cubic meters per hour
Ppb	parts per billion
ppmvs	parts per million by volume
t/h	tons per hour
µg/m³	micrograms per meter cubed